Abstract

May 23, 2017, Kampung Cisaban Baduy Luar burned down. A total of 84 houses of Baduy residents and 200 leuits burned. The incident became interesting and important to study. The house should have been distant with leuit so when the house caught on fire, the leuit will not burn. This is an empirical research by using interview and observation for data collection, then presented descriptively. The results showed that the position of leuit with the village is not in accordance with customary law. At first leuit was built away from the village, then the house increased in number. Finally the leuit was built in not in accordance with customary law. As a result, there was a village fire that made leuit burned down. This is a natural reaction to the non-compliance of customary rules regarding leuit distance. However, this accident is deemed as disaster rather than customary sanction.
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Introduction

Owning leuit for all Baduy community as a customary law is good for food security. Rice stored in leuit lasts for long time. It means Baduy people would be economically independent without relying on other people or even government. Besides they are away from food shortage. Baduy agricultural products will be sold or stored for their own need. Yet, rice will be kept for their need. Generally, after harvesting, rice is dried and kept in storage known as Leuit. Leuit is made from woven bamboo and some logs and kirai (coconut husk) for its roof. The rice is largely consumed for daily needs and preferred for traditional ceremony.\(^1\)

In Baduy tradition, rice should not be sold while other products such as banana, casava, etc can be sold. Baduy traditional law prohibits them to sell their rice but allows them to buy rice.\(^2\) Food availability in Baduy community is safe due to leuit. Most of the Baduy household have one leuit and the others
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\(^1\) Suparmini, Sriadi Setyawati, Dyah Respati Suryo Sumunar, “Pelestarian Lingkungan Masyarakat Baduy Berbasis Kearifan Lokal”, Jurnal Penelitian Humaniora, Vol. 18, No.1, April 2013: pp. 8-22

\(^2\) Interview result with Jaro Alim, Jaro Cikeusik, Baduy Dalam, May 6th 2017.
have two or more. Traditional community like Baduy still hold the ownership since they rely on food supply from their agricultural products.\(^3\)

Each family at least has one leuit. Although the ownership is under individual name and not included as customary property, Baduy community cannot open their leuit without puun and jaro (leaders) permission. For example in Cikeusik, which consists of 81 houses and 131 families, have more than 250 leuit. Each family at least owns 2 until 5 leuit and even puun Cikeusik has 8 leuit.\(^4\) The location of Leuit have been considered by ancestor in such way, by determining the woods to use as leuit's building material, roof of rumbia/fiber, leuit architecture that can prevent it from rats/mice. This rule has existed for hundred years ago.

Even the place to build leuit also has been considered in such way by Baduy ancestor. Leuit must be built at least 100 meters away outside of village. This is done to prevent any unexpected possibilities when there are fire in village. Leuit which is build far away from the village will not burn.\(^5\) Hence, Baduy people still have food stockpile. Leuit placement in such way shows the pattern of food security in Baduy community. The reason is simple, when there is fire, they still have food stocks. This hold in high philosophy which may possibly missed out in modern society today.

Yet, in May 23\(^{rd}\) 2017, there was a fire in Baduy Luar, Cisaban village RT 02 RW 06 Kanekes, Leuwii damar, Lebak. They lost 84 houses and approximately 200 hundreds leuit burned down due to violation of leuit distance. Leuit which should be away from village becomes near. Narrow land puleuit Baduy traditional law which obligates the far distance of leuit being violated.

Accordingly, a study is worth conducting which concerns about customary sanction as a

customary reaction towards customary infringement related to leuit distance in Baduy. The purpose of this study is to study and explain customary sanction as a customary reaction towards customary violations done by Baduy community related to leuit distance in village which causes fire.

**Research Methods**

This is an empirical legal research specifically on sociology of law. The sociological research on law is not a norm in form of law as commonly understood but the law is constructed as a behavior of social community that is steady and institutionalized as well as socially legitimized.\(^6\) Sociological research on law observes how law exists in social community and what behavioral characteristics within a region in social life. Then it is presented and analyzed qualitatively and descriptively to elicit a whole conception of relation between the interests and value believed in the society.\(^7\) The behavior here is local wisdom in Baduy community related to the existence of leuit for rice storage. Some other behaviors are resisting on selling the yields, making leuit apart from home, and other behaviors to preserve food security of Baduy people.

Data comprise primary data as the main data source and secondary data or literature works. Method of data collection is done by interview and observation to Baduy. Interview and observation are conducted to find the social facts not only the existence of leuit but also to find more about the rule of distance from Baduy village. This employed qualitative analysis. It is to reveal the behavior that can reflect the applicable law.\(^8\) More specifically, it is the law in making Leuit with certain distance far from village, and the effect of violating it.

**Discussion**

**Leuit as a Realization of Food Security for Baduy People**

---


\(^4\) Interview result with Jaro Alim, Baduy May 6\(^{th}\) 2017.

\(^5\) Behavior of Baduy people who cook inside their wood-staircase house (rumah panggung) that can cause spark, even though the possibility is low


\(^7\) Ibid, p. 56.

\(^8\) Ibid, p. 57.
Baduy community people is an indigenous community that still firmly holds customary law and balances the harmony of natural environment. Typical, unpretentious, simple, mutual cooperation, obedient to customary law and ancestor’s advice kind of lifestyle are applied in Baduy community. Law enforcement does not only keep its contents but also the natural balance.

Cultivating rice is the livelihood of Baduy people. The rice is only allowed to be grown on an unirrigated field called huma. Moreover the rice is prohibited to sell but must be well-stored for daily necessity. Beside ngahuma, cultivating rice, Baduy people also grow other plants; fruits such as Durian, Banana, Coconut, and Corn, some tubers like cassava, tao, and sweet potato. The seeds of the plants they got from the previous harvest that are replanted.9

The harvest produced by Baduy people especially rice are stored in a leuit. Jaro Alim said every married man is suggested to have leuit to avoid family hunger. Having leuit is not mandatory for each family, but only for those who can afford it. Due to its tradition, every married man will absolutely build leuit to store the harvest of the year. This tradition started from the legal awareness and how they obey the ancestral custom from the past.10

Like common building, leuit are also made from natural material like wood and bamboo, and sogo palm or sugar palm for the roof. They are located outside the housing. Each family there usually has one to three leuit. Leuit has a unique shape with 1.5 x 1.5 m or 2 x 2 m in width. Besides, it has a space underneath about 1 to 1.5 meters in height. Generally there are two kinds of leuit, the one with geuleubeug and the other without. The one with geuleubeuk is the leuit that has a circular flattened-wood with 30-50 cm for its diameters 30 cm under the floor of leuit. The function of the wooden disc is to avoid mice or other rodent animals entering the leuit. This kind of leuit has a thinner body to the underside. Different from the previous leuit, the one without geuleubeug is smaller and the shape of its body is same from up to down. This kind of leuit is commonly found and built nowadays.11

Practically, the custom of having leuit is intended to protect the rice as daily need and as the inheritance to the next generation. By doing so, all family will have sufficient rice stock. The rice plant in the leuit becomes wealth which can be used as inheritance to their heir. The rice plant stored in leuit can stand over hundred years and still safe to consume.12

Another interesting thing from leuit is the distance management of the leuit from the village. Knowledge about the position of the granary separate from the residents belongs to Baduy local wisdom as a disaster mitigation. There is no specific pattern of building leuit. The locations of leuit are on the other side of the river, behind the village forest, on the hillside, or at a distance of 10-20 meters from the last house. Furthermore, the same cases for house building, the leuits are also built on the top of pole which use stone river pedestal as the base. Instead of technicly to prevent pole weathering, this way can also maintain the flexibility of the building in case of earthquake shocks preventing it from collapse.13

Sanctions imposed on Customary Violations of Leuit Distance

Traditionally, Indonesian people have its own rules known as customary law. This is certainly unwritten that was not officially endorsed by the state as well as the law. This indicates that the Indonesian people still hold convention of law that is the customary law itself and the sanctions for its violation. Thus, the position of customary law along with customary sanctions is still intact and firmly upheld by Indonesian society which should be a
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10 Interview result with Jaro Alim, Baduy May 6th 2017.
12 The researcher has tasted rice that is aged for 20 years
13 Ibid, p. 73.
concern in terms of criminal law politics, especially the renewal of national criminal law, both material criminal law and formal criminal law.\textsuperscript{14}

The existence of customary criminal law in the community is a life reflection to the community and in each region has different customary criminal law according to the existing customs which are unwritten and codified.\textsuperscript{15} A peaceful and harmonious life is also the essence of every human life. However, different interests of every person in society become a reason for potential conflict or clashes due to conflict of interests which disrupt the balance of the community order.\textsuperscript{16} The balance of social order must be restored to its original state (\textit{restituo in integrum}). In essence, “sanctions” are required to restore the balance of the social order which has been disturbed.\textsuperscript{17}

Soepomo explained that customary punishment is a customary reaction from every balance disturbance that violates justice and obedience in society with its responsibility to restore such condition back to normal.\textsuperscript{18} Indonesian customary laws recognize customary institutes as the symbol of local culture used to solve lawsuit including court of justice and even punishment. More concretely, the case settlement through the institutes is done with deliberation principle to uphold law and, on the other side, eliminate further implication. The highest goal is a balance in a social harmony.\textsuperscript{19}

The management of customary punishment causing disturbed balance of families or society, even though the cases are sometimes taken care of by government, is actually based on personal and/or related families approach, or taken care of by leader of relatives, local chief, village chief, the leader of organization and government.\textsuperscript{20}

Baduy does not have a written guidelines on restrictions in their custom. Yet, it does not mean that Baduy’s elders do not recognize the restrictions in Baduy’s tradition. The knowledge of customary restriction can be learned publicly by society from generation to generation based on oral tradition. Other violations including sexual act, lawsuit of land, dispute, and other unlawful act are also governed by Baduy’s custom punishment law along with its court procedure, witness, and implementation.\textsuperscript{21}

Customary violation of Baduy is derived from many kinds of act such as, an act that violates tradition with nature contact and an act that breaks tradition with humans. The violation of tradition to nature is done by conducting a custom ritual led by \textit{puun} and \textit{jaro}. The violation of tradition with humans is executed through meetings of families between the suspects of violation of custom, and then it is given to \textit{baris kolot} for giving advises and custom penalty. In Baduy, some custom penalties are composed of custom vow, custom detention center, and benignity. Those penalties are conducted along with its conditions.

Customary law is a flexible law that lives among society along with its change and development. The occurred disruption can threaten the life of society which can be responded by collective act.\textsuperscript{22} The fire of \textit{leuit} on July 2017 is an impact of customary violation to \textit{leuit}’s distance with its village in Cisaban, outer Baduy. The distance of \textit{leuit} is no longer compatible
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with its custom law due to the distance of leuit has to save its rice plant from its village. Ayah Mursyid\textsuperscript{23} said that the location of leuit close to the villages in Cisaban is caused by the limited area. Limited area is caused by the growth of inhabitant without area expansion. Cisaban village is a blossoming village. It caused the distance of leuit close to villages, so the leuit got burn when the villages burned down. The food supplies for dozens of years are vanished.\textsuperscript{24}

Based on the data in the scene, fire happened because the distance between leuit and village is close enough and it breaks the customary law stating that leuit must far away from villages. However, Ayah Mursyid said, it is not a violation of the customary law which has to be given the customary penalty.\textsuperscript{25} Can the fire accident of leuit be said as the customary penalty considering the penalty was imposed by nature rather than customary institution?

Ayah Mursyid said that the leuit fire is not customary penalty but disaster that must be accepted. It is not a punishment to break customary law but it caused by carelessness in living life.\textsuperscript{26} In this case, the infraction of distance between leuit and village is a problem to be well-solved, not with the fire that raises new problem. In principle, the value embraced by the community is problem settlement without any other subsequent potential problems.

In line with what is said by Koesno, in the practice of customary law there is no problem that cannot be solved and the purpose is to achieve safety, peacefulness, prosperity, either between the parties or the entire villagers. By this purpose, each problem is well and comprehensibly settled for present and future.\textsuperscript{27}

Concerning about leuit that has no space with the villages, it cannot be solved by punishment because the condition in the village is not possible to build leuit far from the villages whereas the villagers must have leuit. There is no solution for it but to build leuit close to the villages. The burning of leuit also becomes the consequences to take as a result of carelessness. Customary apparatus can only give advice so that the villagers can be more careful when they cook or do other activities to avoid fire.

It is different from Jaro Saija\textsuperscript{28} who said that the distance of leuit is a violation of customary law. It is considered as a violation of the customary law because according to customary law the leuit distance should be far from the villages. It means the close distance of leuit to the villages is customary law violation. Concerning the customary violation on leuit distance, the custom apparatus through Jaro has imposed sanction in the form of reprimand in the form of oral censure by local leader then delivered to the villagers who have leuit near their house.

The reprimand, nonetheless, cannot be implemented since inadequate area while each family is obliged to have leuit. The limited area forced villagers to build leuit close to the villages.\textsuperscript{29} The burned leuit was considered as disaster due to carelessness as well as punishment from nature for not abiding the customary law related to the distance of leuit.\textsuperscript{30}

According to the observation in the field, Baduy customary law community are obedient to the customary law. Still, in the case of customary violation of leuit distance, several causal factors of non-compliance with rule are: firstly, the obligation to build leuit with very limited land as no expansion of area. It has to be acknowledged that it cannot be carelessly done to do land clearing since it should follow the applicable customary rules. Secondly, people decreased understanding about leuit distance with village as mitigation if fire occurs (houses of Baduy community are easily burned). Thirdly, customary administrators are not strict enough in enforcing the customary law about leuit distance, whether it is violated or not. Fourthly, outsiders, who come and go, bring

\textsuperscript{23} Figure in Baduy Dalam

\textsuperscript{24} Interview with Ayah Mursyid, Wednesday, September 20th 2017.

\textsuperscript{25} Interview with Ayah Mursyid, Wednesday, September 20th 2017.

\textsuperscript{26} Interview with Ayah Mursyid, Wednesday, September 20th 2017.

\textsuperscript{27} Ali Abubakar, loc.cit.

\textsuperscript{28} Jaro is a term for village in Baduy.

\textsuperscript{29} Interview with Jaro Saija, August 17th 2017, Baduy Luar.

\textsuperscript{30} Interview with Jaro Saija, August 17th 2017, Baduy Luar.
new perspective or give distraction to Baduy people (especially the youths) in obeying the customary law to its philosophy. For instance, the obligation of having leuit, they have leuit, but do not the distance rules. On the other hand, they fulfill the obligation by having leuit yet ignoring the rule.

Customary rules on leuit is a great rule in terms of building a food security for Baduy people. The presence of customary law on leuit distance with village is intended to rescue rice stock from any unexpected disaster. If there is a fire, the people are safe from food shortage. In other words, violating the leuit might cause disadvantageous fire.

The customary law here is not only about customary law communities but customary offenses is also seen as a disadvantageous behavior materially for individual and magically harm the balance of nature which will bring impact on other people.31

Furthermore, the customary sanction can be in form of anything depending on customary law community itself. Basically, custom sanction is a reaction to the custom violation done by the community. Customary reaction or community reaction is all the custom reactions to the behavior for neutralizing the law violation, and the purpose is to recover the balances, however, the balance of the world, the balances in the real life of the community, the balances among community groups and individuals.32 Nevertheless, according to Van Vollen Hoven33, customary violence can be in form of minor violation. In this written context, it is only a violation towards the distance of village and leuit yet endanger community.

Conclusion

Customary sanction in Baduy, in this case, is a violation of leuit distance which is divided to two type. First, it is a custom sanction imposed by customary administrator in the form of reprimand from Jaro to the head of villagers and citizens who have leuit near village. Second, custom sanction from nature, in the form of fire. Some Baduy believes that fire is a natural reaction for their disobedience on the leuit distance and some other perceived as a disaster.

Suggestion

Customary administrators should impose an clear sanction about violation of distance between leuit and the village to prevent the village from fire and to achieve the purpose of customary law.
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