THE STATE FATE IN POLIBUSINESSES

There is a new tendency in overcoming the problems of the nation. It appears all the stake-holders in this (legislative and executive) have played their role well to cause a decreasing in public trust in them. The case of "daddy needs stock" as the equivalent of the blue-collar crime cases, "mama needs stock" is a cause celebre that dragged the country officials and embarrassing when it is seen from the side of ethics. The tendency means that they create a problem, then published it, and ends with the emergence of a hero who overcomes all problems.

Cases of Go-Jek prohibition to operate as a transportation by the Ministry of Transportation is the example. Ignatius Jonan who had issued the ban decision then must take his own words because the President appeared as a hero by ordering the lifting of the ban. The second case is a case of "daddy needs stock" which is processed to create such imaged of the head of Parliament asked for shares distribution of PT. Freeport which will expire the term of its contract and is making preparations to renegotiate. The Minister of ESDM bravely open this case that triggered the emergence of "judicial opera", judicial ethics for members of Parliament who commit ethical violations.

The main of this case is not on the Head of Parliament in order to step down from his position. The process is by leading public opinion through the mass media that is no longer neutral, as if the Head of Parliament was so naive as mina stock. The efforts of imaging and shaping opinion on the performance of the Minister of Energy and Mineral Resources is successful. The most anticipated goal is the government itself had plans to extend Freeport's contract. People who are lulled by driving opinion can not stop and do not even know if Freeport's contract was already extended. This is the terrific gesture of government that is able to deceive its people.

Various nation problems actually come from two things. First, people seem to be easily swayed by the opinions without being able to see the essence behind the creation of the opinion. Second, many members of Parliament who come from the background of the entrepreneur or businessman, where their way and business behavior does not change when a representative of the people, so that the way of thinking remains similar with the way of thinking of businessman. Third, the parliamentary staffs who are from political background, ultimately thinking and trying to do a business. This is a collaboration that is fitting to undermine the nation. Fourth, the government seemed to lose focus in the works, so that coordination between the minister and the president can not run well that led to the output of the questionable policy. This is also due to the business mindset of the ministers have not yet been left from their minds. If it is so, when does our country can thrive and people to prosper?

Purwokerto, September 2015

Editor in Chief,

Agus Raharjo